

OPPORTUNITIES FOR GAS IN EMISSION REDUCTION POLICIES

ENERGY NETWORKS 2014

JOHN BRADLEY, CEO, ENA

1 MAY 2014



Outline

- > Magnitude of the task
- > How gas can assist the abatement challenge
- > Current Emission Reduction measures
- > Gas Hot Water Heating and the Emissions Reduction Fund
- > Removing barriers to the potential of gas

Emissions Reduction – Magnitude of the Task

Figure i: Australia's emissions reduction task to 2020



Where gas can contribute



Sourcee: Australia's Abatement task and 2013 Emissions Projections, Department of Environment

ENA

Where gas can contribute

Electricity Generation

Australian stationary energy GHG intensity CO2-e (kg/kWh)



Sourcee: ACIL Tasman, EnergyQuest, Purvin & Gertz and Rare Consulting. (2009). Australia's future transport fuel supply options – economic implications of alternative regional supply and demand scenarios. Report prepared for Queensland Energy Resources

Heavy and Passenger Vehicle Transport

Gas Hot Water Heating

- Electric HWS Emissions approach 12 Mt CO-2 per year
 - Gas HWS can achieve 65% emissions abatement producing ~1 tonne CO2-e per year, vs Electric HWS of 3 tpa (NSW) or 4.5 tpa (Vic)
 - Gas water heaters on par with electric boosted heat pumps for emissions intensity
- Gas water heaters can penetrate market in areas unsuitable for solar or heatpumps (e.g. highrise or cold areas)

Challenges for Gas HWS competition...

- Changing Government policy measures on phase out of Electric Resistance increase potential for "Like for Like" replacement .
- Sensitivity to upfront rather than 'whole of life' cost
- Sensitivity to installation time
- Information barriers (e.g. future gas prices)
- Split incentives (e.g. tenant/landlord)



Gas Hot Water Heating

House/location type	Abatement 'upper limit' (Mt CO ₂ -e)
Standalone, with gas connection	2.1
Standalone, in gas reticulation area (no connection)	1.8
Standalone, outside gas reticulation area	1.4
Multi-unit	2.1
All dwelling types	7.5

Note: Scope 1 & 2 emissions only; replacement with 7 star instantaneous

ENA

Key Emission Reduction Funding Measures

Technology Neutral?

> RET

- LRET Costing \$812m pa in 2011/12 increasing to \$2.2 bn pa in 2020*
- SRET total of \$4.4 BN to 2020 *
- > Emissions Reduction Fund
 - \$2.55 BN by 2020 potential to increase to \$4.55 BN ?
- > Million Solar Roofs
 - \$500 million to c. 2025



Opportunity for Gas HWS replacement in ERF?

- If economic clearing price large scale aggregation schemes could be possible, recognising:
 - Proven methodologies (eg. VEET and REES)
 - Hot water use is passive, diversified over large program and relatively irreversible lending itself to **deeming**.
- VEET and REES use deeming to reduce transaction costs
 - Average assumptions drawn from Australian Standard (AS/NZS 42234-2008) for unit size, efficiency and useful life.

Price Outlook uncertain from the ERF

- Will be challenging if clearing price is as implied in funding envelope.
 - Current funding of \$2.55 BN/421 mt implies max price of \$6.05 per tonne;
 - If extend final year funding until 2019/20 implies max. price of \$12.00 per tonne
- Recognise:
 - the actual clearing price will depend on market response.
 - Uncertainty in both the required Abatement Volumes and the Cost Curve of verifiable responses.

Impact of the Small Scale Renewable Energy Scheme

- Solar Hot Water receives \$1300 to \$1500 per unit SRES benefit, distorting appliance and abatement markets.
- Electric heat pumps receive \$1100 per unit
- No equivalent subsidy for Gas HWS based on abatement.
- Approx. 800,000 Solar HWS installed in Australia.
- Nearly 1.2 million Solar PV units

Distortion Effect of Small Scale RET on HWS...

	Efficiency*	Appliance	Installation	Total	STCs	Net cost	Equivalent subsidy foregone
Solar (gas boost)	95%	\$4,000	\$1,900	\$5,900	\$1,500	\$4,400	
Solar (electric boost)	85%	\$3,800	\$1,500	\$5,300	\$1,300	\$4,000	
Electric heat pump	70%	\$3,200	\$600	\$3,900	\$1,100	\$2,800	
Gas (5 star instantaneous	70%	\$1,400	\$800	\$2,200		\$2,200	\$1,100
Gas (5 Star storage)	65%	\$1,400	\$600	\$2,000		\$2,000	\$900
Electric resistance		\$1,200	\$500	\$1,700		\$1,700	

Source: Building Codes Queensland, Review of Hot Water System Laws

*Defined as the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions compared with electric resistance hot water heaters

Outlook for revised SRES 3 years ago...

Figure 3.15 Forecast electricity displaced by small scale technologies



Source: AEMC (2011) INTERIM REPORT IImpact of the enhanced Renewable Energy Target on energy markets

ENA

The view today...



Source: AEMC (2011) INTERIM REPORT & AEMO data

SRES represents High Cost Abatement...

- SRES expected to cost \$4.4 Billion over 2011-2020, even when installations were expected to collapse after 2011/12.
- Emissions Abatement estimated at 13.3 Mt CO2-e
- Cost of Abatement estimated \$500 per tonne CO2in 2011, falling to \$300 per tonne in 2020.



Another million solar roofs on the way...



... savings available by deferring 'Million Solar Roofs'

• Coalition's Direct Action Plan (2010)

Our goal is for one million additional solar energy roofs on homes by 2020, including either solar power or solar water heating systems (SWHs)'.

- Initiative assumes \$500 rebate for either solar panels or solar hot water systems, capped at 100,000 rebates/year over 10 years.
- Key issues for ENA
 - Further Assistance to Solar Unnecessary
 - Prefer Technology neutral approach to Emissions Abatement
 - Minimising Distortionary impacts on Networks

Minimising a Gas 'Crunch' demand response ...

- > Reith Report cited Victorian Government modelling :
 - Average Victorian residential gas bill could increase by \$180 (20%) by 2020 after peaking in 2015.
- > Eastern Australian gas study assumes relatively inelastic demand for Residential, Commercial & Industrial customers.
- > ENA concerned wholesale price volatility impacts -
 - consumers moving to higher emission options.
 - risk of permanent damage to Australia's domestic sector

Required action ...

- > Confront wholesale price risk in *Eastern Australian Gas* Supply Strategy to 2020 and the Energy White Paper
- > ENA does not support government intervention in markets unless justified but...
 - Government should evaluate the role of a National Interest Test on future large-scale export gas developments, as has been adopted overseas
 - ENA supports evaluation of measures to increase upstream transparency
 - Remove arbritrary barriers to wholesale supply response, while maintaining community confidence in environmental regulation and approvals.

Better outcomes for consumers

- > Least cost forms of abatement
- > Market able to respond to emission reduction targets
- > Integrated, rather than conflicting, policy measures
- > Removal of upstream barriers and new transparency measures assessing domestic market issues.
- > An adaptive management approach to solar election commitments